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Dallas Love Field
People Mover Connector
Financial Analysis & Recommendations
(Part 2 of 2)
Briefing to the Transportation and Environment Committee

Department of Aviation
October 13, 2008
Purpose

- Review September 22:
  - Part 1, Feasibility Study Findings, Recommendations.

- Today:
  - Part 2: Financial Analysis and Recommendations
Part 1 Findings, Recommendations

• People Mover demand is based on the following:
  – Commuting Employees 418 daily riders
  – Air Travelers 1,230 daily riders
  – Southwest Airlines Employee Shuttle 500 daily riders
  – Total Demand Potential 2,148 daily riders

• Additional opportunities arising from installation of People Mover:
  – Relocation of Car Rental Service Facilities from Terminal Area to a new Consolidated Car Rental Facility co-located with People Mover DART station.
  – Employee parking could also be co-located.
  – Co-locations estimated to increase daily ridership by 5,000, bringing total demand to 7,150 daily and about 2,000,000 annually.
Part 1 Findings, Recommendations (Cont’d)

• People Mover Planning Level Costs:
  – 2010 Capital $330,000,000
  – 2010 Annual System O&M $4,000,000
  – 2010 Annual Facilities O&M $824,000

• Project Schedule, Design to Commissioning – 72 months

• Funding Sources & Potential:
  – DART $20,000,000
  – Regional Transportation Commission $60,000,000
  – Passenger Facility Charge (2010-2028) $562,000,000
  – Total Potential Funding $642,000,000
Part 1 Findings, Recommendations (Cont’d)

• The **Study concluded** the People Mover **Project is Feasible:**
  – Capital resources **exceed** Planning Level **Costs**;
  – The APM concept delivers an **excellent Level of Service**;
  – Recent trends of increased DART ridership;
  – Air quality improvements.

• The **Study did not** analyze all Dallas Airport System capital programs and **develop a financial strategy** to support all programs.

• The **Airport Rates & Charges Study** has developed **financial strategies for all existing and potential development programs:**
  – LFMP;
  – People Mover;
  – Rolling Capital Improvement Program.
Part 2 – Financial Analysis

• Critical Issue # 1:
  – City has committed to develop **Love Field Modernization Program (LFMP)**
    • Concept Option C, approved by City Council, and currently in Schematic Design
    • Cost-Recovery Airline Rate Model approved by City Council (adjusts rates to cover costs)
    • Preliminary Budget - $520 million
    • Preliminary Financial Plan
      – Southwest Funded $ 23 M
      – FAA Grant Funded $ 64 M
      – TSA Grant Funded $ 20 M
      – PFC Pay-Go Funded $ 61 M
      – AVI Funded $ 28 M
      – Bond Funded $324 M
      – Preliminary Budget $520 M

• Bond Debt Service Funding
  – Annual Requirement (2016) $28 M
  – Less annual PFC revenues pledged ($10 M)
  – Net to be recovered – Airline Rate Base $18 M

• Resulting Terminal Rental Rate (projected annual per square foot) - $49.
Part 2 – Financial Analysis

• Critical Issue #2:
  
  – The **People Mover** has no tenants and **little revenue** potential.
    • It’s costs must be paid by other sources:
      – DART, RTC and AVI contributions reduce net capital cost to = $243 M
      
        » Will remove $10 M PFC contribution from LFMP debt payment, thereby increasing airline square foot rental rate
      
      – Operating and Maintenance Costs, estimated at $6 M by 2015.
        » Potential cost sharing with DART
        » Balance added to airline rate base

  
  • Alternative approaches are necessary for a sound business case.
Part 2 – Financial Analysis

- Alternative approach to \textit{financing} the People Mover
  - Shift capital cost of People Mover Terminal Station ($43 \text{ M}) from People Mover project to LFMP, reducing the People Mover Net Capital Cost to about $200 \text{ M}.
  - Retain integrity of LFMP Financing Plan by maintaining the $10 \text{ M} PFC pledge to debt service.
  - Annual People Mover Debt Service requirement estimated at $15\text{ M}.
  - These are planning estimates and cannot be substantiated until project design development is underway.
Part 2 – Financial Analysis

- Cost impacts:
  - Capital: Resulting Impact on LFMP Financing Plan ($43 M added to project)
    - Airline terminal rental rate (projected annual per square foot) increase from $49 to $80.

  - O&M: Additional Impact: People Mover Operation & Maintenance Cost
    - Estimated $6 M annually by 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost impact to LFMP</th>
<th>LFMP Current</th>
<th>LFMP with $43M APM Cost</th>
<th>LFMP + $43M APM + O&amp;M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonded Debt Amount</td>
<td>$324M</td>
<td>$367M</td>
<td>$367M $6M Capital O&amp;M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Rent per sq. ft.</td>
<td>$49 / SF</td>
<td>$80 / SF</td>
<td>$105 / SF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part 2 – Financial Analysis

• Summary:
  – A Strategy to Fund and Finance the LFMP and the People Mover, under these circumstances:
    • Would consume nearly all PFC revenue for life of bonds;
    • Would limit capital improvement capabilities of the Dallas Airport System to rehabilitation and replacement of equipment, facilities and infrastructure only, and no new development.
    • Would place significant financial burden on airlines and other users of Love Field.
  – Staff is pursuing lower cost alternatives.
Part 2 – Financial Analysis

• Other Considerations:
  – Terminal Area Access
    • **Dependant on Cedar Springs Rd** – emergency road closure would cut off access to terminal.

    • **Alternate** means of **access** is desirable & **prudent**.

  – DART Light Rail Connection
    • **Intra-modal opportunities** keep focus of alternate Terminal access point at DART Station.

    • Bus Connection as an **interim approach** would **preserve future potential** of the People Mover recommended in Feasibility Study.

  – Consolidated Car Rental Facility (CONRAC)
    • Development of a CONRAC in conjunction with DART Love Field Station brings 2 opportunities:
      – Create a **new revenue stream** and funding source to contribute to capital and O&M cost.
      – Create new aeronautical **development opportunities** on vacated land in Terminal Area.

    • Additional Benefits:
      – Reduce traffic **congestion** and increase **air quality** by removing 138,000 shuttle bus trips.
Part 2 – Financial Analysis

• Recommendations:
  – Investigate **alternative connection** of Love Field Airline Terminal to DART Love Field Station.
  
  – **Investigate** development of a **Consolidated Car Rental Facility** near the DART Love Field Station
    • Enhance future potential of viable and effective connector project.
    • Provide safety and air quality enhancements to the Terminal Area.
    • Introduce new aeronautical development opportunities.
    • Potential for co-locating employee parking to free up capacity in terminal area for increased public parking needs.

• Next Steps:
  – Committee will be updated in January