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Purpose

To provide an update on lot-to-lot drainage requirements for infill single family dwellings
Issue

- **Infill lots** being **redeveloped** in single family neighborhoods often pose negative impacts to adjacent property owners

- Alteration of existing grade to construct a pad site for a **new** house in an **existing** neighborhood may change drainage pattern

- Grade alteration sometimes results in **increased** water runoff for the adjacent lots
Site Grading Guidelines

- Proper site grading directs surface water away from building foundations and walls
- Grading and landscaping should be planned with a surface grade of at least 4% around and away from the entire structure.
- The steeper the slope away from the building, the better the water will drain. Driveways, garage slabs, patios, stoops, and walkways should drain away from the structure.
Examples of Concerns

- Drainage patterns altered
- Increased water runoff
- Obstruction of existing drainage patterns
- Lack of use of proper conveyance systems
Examples

Built up pad with drainage toward street
Examples

Built up pad with drainage toward street or property line swale
Examples

Drainage toward street, alley or sidewalk
Examples

Wrong approach: no provision for drainage away from adjacent property
Current Regulations

- Dallas currently regulates site drainage through
  - Dallas Development Code, Chapter 51A
  - Dallas City Code, Chapter 52
Dallas Requirements

Dallas Development Code, 51A-8.611(e)

- Lot-to-lot drainage
  - Each lot **must** be drained to an abutting street or alley unless drainage to a street or alley is infeasible.
  - If drainage is infeasible there are **two** options:
    1. If no more than the rear 15 ft of the lot drains toward the rear lot line—A well pronounced swale must be provided, or
    2. If more than the rear 15 ft of the lot drains toward the rear lot line—A paved invert in a common area or a drainage easement is required
Dallas Requirements

Dallas City Code, Administrative Procedures for the Construction Codes 52-608

- Site drainage
  - Adjoining property—A contractor shall not:
    1. **Obstruct the existing** natural drainage pattern of adjacent public or private property; or
    2. **Redirect or increase** the existing quantity or velocity of water draining onto adjoining private property
  - A contractor shall submit detailed drainage plans and engineering calculations **if** required by the building official
# Other Cities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Lot-to-lot drainage</th>
<th>Grading Plan Requirement for Residential Infill Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>Permitted</td>
<td>Required, must be sealed by a registered surveyor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>Permitted, maximum 2 lots</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>Not permitted</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth</td>
<td>Permitted</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington</td>
<td>Permitted, maximum 3 lots</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plano</td>
<td>Not permitted</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richardson</td>
<td>Not permitted</td>
<td>Required, but seal is not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frisco</td>
<td>Not permitted</td>
<td>Required, but seal is not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving</td>
<td>Permitted</td>
<td>Required, must be sealed by a registered engineer or surveyor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enforcement Changes

- Recently, staff has been strengthening the enforcement of drainage issues through modifications to the building permit application process
2006

Background

- Dallas implemented drainage procedures for infill developments in **2006**
  - Excessive rains resulted in complaints related to lot-to-lot drainage
  - Infill development has increased since 2000
  - Forward Dallas planning process in 2005 included citizen input on infill development

- **2006 Procedure**—Implemented a checklist and waiver form where applicant had the following **options**:
  - To sign waiver stating the conditions of the proposed site would not be changed significantly; **OR**
  - To submit signed and sealed engineering drainage plans
2007 Revision—added following option:

- To install gutters and subsurface drains to control drainage;

- Procedure did not ensure that water was being collected and controlled in all situations
2008

- **2008** Initiated process to revise procedure to **strengthen code compliance**
  - Worked in collaboration with the Home Builders Association (HBA)
  - Considered requiring grading and drainage plans, but:
    - Less than **3%** of the projects annually result in inadequate drainage
    - Average cost of sealed engineered plans is approx. $1600
• **2008 Procedure**—Implemented a checklist and waiver form that:
  
  • Requires applicant to sign an **affidavit** stating the conditions of the proposed site (new or replacement structure, same or altered grade); **AND**
  
  • Gives the Building Official the **right** to require a sealed engineering plan for a site that has been determined to cause an adverse impact on abutting property; **AND**
  
  • Requires applicant to correct the drainage problem per the engineer’s plan up to one year after completion of house, unless modifications were made by the homeowner after the sale of the house
Summary

• The new procedure went into effect on July 16, 2008
  • Changes are very recent and evaluation is still ongoing

• Building Inspection will monitor the results of the new procedure and:
  • Report findings to this committee
  • Modify requirements as necessary